The Honorable Andrew Wheeler, Administrator US Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20460

The Honorable John Barrasso Chairman Committee on Environment & Public Works United States Senate 410 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Frank Pallone Chairman Committee on Energy and Commerce United States House of Representatives 2125 Rayburn House Office Building Washington DC 20515

The Honorable Raúl M. Grijalva Chairman Committee on Natural Resources United States House of Representatives 1324 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515 The Honorable Thomas R. Carper Ranking Member Committee on Environment & Public Works United States Senate 456 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Greg Walden Ranking Member Committee on Energy and Commerce United States House of Representatives 2322 Rayburn House Office Building Washington DC 20515

The Honorable Rob Bishop Ranking Member Committee on Natural Resources United States House of Representatives 1329 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Administrator Wheeler, Chairman Barrasso, Ranking Member Carper, Chairman Pallone, Ranking Member Walden, Chairman Grijalva, and Ranking Member Bishop:

We, the undersigned 152 fenceline community, environmental justice, health, faith, worker, business, conservation, and other concerned organizations, are writing to express our outrage with the policy issued by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) on March 26, 2020, titled "COVID-19 Implications for EPA's Enforcement and Compliance Assurance Program." This indiscriminate advance waiver of enforcement and penalties for essential health and safety requirements at tens of thousands of polluting and hazardous facilities will unnecessarily endanger workers and the public, without any application, disclosure, or other oversight required by facilities or the agency.

EPA issued its memo just days after a request from the American Petroleum Institute, and the policy includes exceptions from a laundry list of requirements that the chemical and oil industries have opposed for some time. While we understand that worker shortages may be a reality at the moment, EPA's policy goes far beyond reasonable and appropriate accommodation to the current situation. By providing for waiver of enforcement actions and penalties for

violations of critical worker and public protections, with no submission of evidence required and no time limit, the policy invites facilities to shirk essential responsibilities to protect health and safety without consequence. The policy is so broad that it allows EPA to waive enforcement even if suspension of otherwise required activities causes an "imminent threat" to health or the environment.

At a time when EPA inspections are at a decade-long low, and significant chemical releases or explosions often occur on a weekly basis, a general non-enforcement policy - based on an entity's unverified self-declaration that otherwise essential health and safety activities are not feasible - is subject to misunderstanding and abuse. Contrary to its purported goals, the policy endangers health and safety precisely when public health is uniquely vulnerable. The policy is misguided at best.

The Policy is Unnecessary and Unsupported

The non-enforcement policy is suspect on its face, because EPA provides no basis for its assumption that facilities that continue to operate during the pandemic, and which continue to fulfill diverse other operational requirements and contracts, cannot continue to implement essential health and safety activities to protect their employees and neighbors. Absent evidence to the contrary (not simply a request from an industry trade association), the agency should expect that facilities continuing normal operations can continue to meet important health and safety requirements.

Likewise, the agency provides no justification for an advance waiver of enforcement and penalties across numerous programs. Although EPA retains the discretion to not pursue enforcement actions for violations of routine reporting requirements due to pandemic-imposed constraints on a case-by-case basis, EPA has not relied on this authority. EPA instead has set out in advance how it does not expect to enforce emissions monitoring and safety inspection requirements - along with many other requirements - at the request of the oil and gas industry itself, with no advance verification that non-compliance is due to COVID-19 and no mandatory public disclosure.¹

The Policy's Broad Scope Covers Many Activities Essential for Protecting Public Health

The March 26 non-enforcement policy, which the agency made retroactive to March 13, lacks any 'expiration' date and applies to a stunningly broad range of activities essential for worker and public health and safety. The EPA calls these activities "routine compliance monitoring and reporting," giving the false impression that the policy merely covers trivial paperwork. Unfortunately, this is not the case.

Activities that could be suspended based on unverified claims without expectation of enforcement actions or penalties include:

¹ Letter from the American Petroleum Institute to EPA, March 23, 2020, see https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/6819817-API-Letter-to-EPA-Seeking-Oil-Industry.html.

- Any activity under almost any statute, regulation, or program within EPA's authority that a facility could characterize as "routine" compliance monitoring, integrity testing, sampling, laboratory analysis, training, and reporting or certification;
- Specifically, equipment and storage tank integrity testing, and leak detection and repair monitoring, which are critical to identifying imminent failures before they become major or catastrophic releases;
- Emissions monitoring and stack tests, which are necessary to identify malfunctioning equipment or greatly increased toxic emissions that could further threaten public health (especially during a respiratory disease pandemic);
- Fenceline monitoring, which is essential to protect neighboring communities from elevated toxic emissions such as benzene.

The policy itself demonstrates that its scope extends far beyond so-called "routine" monitoring and reporting activities to include those that, if suspended, could dramatically threaten life, health, and the environment. The policy allows that EPA might not pursue enforcement or penalties even if:

- "A facility suffers from failure of air emission control or wastewater or waste treatment systems or other facility equipment that may result in exceedances of enforceable limitations on emissions to air or discharges to water, or land disposal, or other unauthorized releases;" or
- If facility operations "may create an acute risk or an imminent threat to human health or the environment."

EPA's assertion that it will assess facility compliance with the stated intent of the policy (since it will be difficult or impossible to assess compliance with the letter of such a broad and vague policy) after the fact is laughable, given the indiscriminate scope of the policy (which applies to extremely broad categories of requirements within most of the agency's jurisdiction, and which could easily include over 100,000 facilities and sites). Given its recent substantial reduction in inspections and enforcement even before the pandemic, and the fact that companies that suspend activities under the policy are not required to notify EPA, it seems unlikely that the agency could undertake proper reviews after the fact, or would even attempt to do so.² If EPA truly does have the ability to vet facility claims under this policy and take enforcement actions *post facto*, it should also have the ability to require and process applications for temporary waivers now.

The Policy Undermines Safety and Increases the Possibility of Large or Catastrophic Chemical Releases

_

² Juliet Eilperin & Brady Dennis, "Under Trump, EPA inspections fall to a 10-year low," Washington Post, Feb. 8, 2019, at A2, https://www.washingtonpost.com/climate-environment/2019/02/08/under-trump-epa-inspections-fall-year-low/, accessed April 13, 2020. Paul Gallay, "Doing Less with Less at EPA: Environmental Enforcement Has Plummeted in the Era of Trump," 50 ABA Trends 14, July/August 2019 at *15. Environmental Integrity Project, "Paying Less to Pollute: A Year of Environmental Enforcement Under the Trump Administration 1-2 (Feb. 15, 2018), https://environmentalintegrity.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/Enforcement-Report.pdf, accessed on April 13, 2020.

EPA misleadingly characterizes as "routine" many safety and health requirements that are critical to preventing large or catastrophic chemical releases or explosions. Requirements that EPA specifically notes that facilities may suspend under the policy without consequence include tank integrity testing, tank and piping inspections, leak detection and repair, emission monitoring, and fenceline monitoring. EPA prospectively announced that suspension of all of these, and many other, safety and health practices may be exempt from enforcement and penalties even if resulting facility operations "may create an acute risk or an imminent threat to human health or the environment."

The independent U.S. Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board (CSB), which investigates the causes of chemical incidents, has highlighted the need for greater and more consistent **preventive** maintenance as a key "driver of critical chemical safety change." CSB has also identified inadequate mechanical integrity programs, and delayed or deferred preventive maintenance, as "primary root causes" of major chemical releases or explosions in many investigations. CSB's preventive maintenance recommendations highlight the need for complete component inspection to prevent catastrophic releases, such as the 2012 Chevron refinery fire and explosion in Richmond, CA that caused 15,000 people to seek medical treatment.

Over 12,000 industrial and commercial facilities across the United States that use or store the most toxic or flammable chemicals have worst-case release vulnerability zones of up to 25 miles in radius. A major chemical release or explosion at one of these facilities would not only endanger facility workers and as many as a million nearby residents, but also could flood area medical facilities with patients at the worst possible time.

The Policy Ignores the Link Between Environmental Health and COVID-19 Risk

There is increasing evidence connecting certain "underlying" health conditions with more serious and even life-threatening COVID-19 outcomes. These conditions include asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and other cardiovascular disease.⁵ At the same time, a wealth of epidemiologic research has long shown an association between exposure to industrial pollution and these very health conditions.⁶ Adverse effects on cardiovascular health can occur

³ U.S. Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board, "Drivers of Critical Chemical Safety Change: Preventive Maintenance," https://www.csb.gov/recommendations/preventive-maintenance-/, accessed on April 13, 2020.

⁴ U.S. Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board (CSB), "Investigations with open preventive maintenance recommendations," https://www.csb.gov/recommendations/pmrecommendations/, accessed April 13, 2020, and CSB, Final Investigation Report: Chevron Richmond Refinery Pipe Rupture and Fire, August 6, 2012.

⁵ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), "Preliminary Estimates of the Prevalence of Selected Underlying Health Conditions Among Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 -- United States, February 12 - March 28, 2020," https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/69/wr/mm6913e2.htm, accessed on April 13, 2020; and CDC, "Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-10): Groups at Higher Risk for Severe Illness," accessed on April 24, 2020, https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/groups-at-higher-risk.html.

⁶ Aruni Bhatnagar, "Environmental Determinants of Cardiovascular Disease," *Circulation Research* 121, no. 2 (2017): 162-180. Kristen Cosselman, Ana Navas-Acien, and Joel Kaufman, "Environmental Factors in Cardiovascular Disease," *Nature Reviews Cardiology* 12, no. 11 (2015): 627-642. Diane Gold and Rosalind Wright, "Population Disparities in Asthma," *Annual Review of Public Health* 26 (2005): 89-113.

even at exposure levels below regulatory standards.⁷ Thus, EPA's decision to relax environmental regulations in the name of the COVID-19 pandemic appears both ironically counterproductive and poorly timed.

EPA has defended its decision by saying that excusing monitoring and reporting does not mean that it will excuse exceedances in emissions (although, as noted above, the policy itself allows that EPA might not take enforcement action even if exceedances happen). It is unclear how EPA will even know if emissions limits are exceeded, because the policy only requires companies to maintain information about noncompliance internally and only provide it to EPA or states upon request. Monitoring and reporting data are critical to frontline communities whose health may be endangered by emissions, or by failure to detect and resolve leaks or malfunctioning equipment. These requirements are also important to deter facilities from exceeding allowed limits on pollution in the first place. EPA should be expanding monitoring requirements and disclosure of information to communities (such as through real-time fenceline air monitoring), not giving polluting facilities a free pass to ignore existing requirements.

A recent study of 3,080 counties across the country showed that even a relatively small increase in fine particulate matter pollution (just one microgram per cubic meter of PM_{2.5}) was associated with a 15% increase in COVID-19 mortality.⁸ Although the study was focused on long-term air pollution levels, even acute increases in pollution precipitated by EPA's non-enforcement policy could endanger health and add a burden to already taxed health systems. For example, short-term air pollution has been repeatedly linked to asthma exacerbations and emergency room visits.⁹ In any case, EPA's policy contains no timeline whatsoever and only vaguely states that it will be reviewed "on a regular basis."

The Impacts of the Policy will Disproportionately Affect Communities of Color and Low-Income Communities, During A Pandemic Already Shown to Exhibit Extreme Racial Disparities

Currently, 39% of the US population (124 million people) lives within three miles of one of the 12,500 high-risk chemical facilities in the country. Due to decades of redlining, housing discrimination, and inequitable planning policies, a disproportionate number of people who live at the fenceline of industry are low-income and Black and Brown communities. These communities already grapple with cumulative exposure to harmful pollutants released from these

⁸ Harvard University, "COVID-19 PM2.5: A National Study on Long-Term Exposure to Air Pollution and COVID-19 Mortality in the United States," https://projects.iq.harvard.edu/covid-pm, accessed on April 13, 2020.

⁷ Kristen Cosselman et al. 2015.

⁹ Xue-yan Zheng et al., "Association between Air Pollutants and Asthma Emergency Room Visits and Hospital Admissions in Time Series Studies: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis," *PloS One* 10, no. 9 (2015): e0138146.

¹⁰ Environmental Justice Health Alliance for Chemical Policy Reform et al, "Life at the Fenceline: Understanding Cumulative Health Hazards in Environmental Justice Communities," September 2018, https://ej4all.org/life-at-the-fenceline, accessed on April 10, 2020.

¹¹ Mary B. Collins et al., "Linking 'Toxic Outliers' to Environmental Justice Communities," *Environmental Research Letters* 11, no. 1 (2016): 1-9.

facilities and other sources, in addition to social stressors such as poverty, lack of access to quality health care, and linguistic isolation.¹²

These social and environmental stressors contribute to higher rates of chronic disease, particularly cardiovascular and respiratory illnesses, in fenceline communities. This is especially concerning in light of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. Black and Hispanic workers are less likely than non-Hispanic white workers to be able to telework, putting them at greater risk of exposure to the virus.¹³ Already, data shows that black people are contracting and dying from the virus at significantly higher rates than other racial/ethnic groups.¹⁴

EPA's policy only serves to further endanger overburdened communities, putting them at risk of increased exposure to chemicals and hazardous waste, or a catastrophic explosion. Elevated exposure to harmful airborne pollutants may also leave these families more vulnerable to severe disease and mortality from COVID-19. Given what we now know about the correlation between a person's baseline health conditions, exposure to air pollution and the likelihood of dying from COVID-19, the EPA should be doing more, not less to monitor and enforce critical safeguards.

Affected Communities and Workers Call on EPA and Congress to Act

COVID-19 has exposed how our system fails the most underserved and underrepresented populations, and this policy only exacerbates the hazards and exposures that have made communities of color, Indigenous communities, and low-income communities more susceptible to the virus. The health of facility workers and frontline communities who already live with unacceptable daily air pollution that has resulted in disproportionately higher rates of cancer and respiratory diseases should not be further endangered under the guise of the current pandemic.

Our organizations recommend that:

• EPA rescind the policy outright, or replace it with a more specific, time-limited, much more narrowly targeted policy that provides for waivers on a case-by-case basis when supported by evidence, and that are immediately disclosed to affected workers and communities;

• If EPA does not rescind the policy or replace it with a much more targeted and timelimited policy, the agency must act on the petition for emergency rulemaking submitted on April 1, 2020, and promptly adopt a rule to ensure that companies immediately inform

¹² Rachel Morello-Frosch et al., "Understanding the Cumulative Impacts of Inequalities in Environmental Health: Implications for Policy," *Health Affairs* 30, no. 5 (2011): 879-887.

¹³ Economic Policy Institute, "Not Everybody Can Work from Home: Black and Hispanic Workers are Much Less Likely to be Able to Telework," March 19, 2020, https://www.epi.org/blog/black-and-hispanic-workers-are-much-less-likely-to-be-able-to-work-from-home/, accessed on April 10, 2020.

¹⁴ Washington Post, "The Coronavirus is Infecting and Killing Black Americans at an Alarmingly High Rate," April 7, 2020, https://www.washingtonpost.com/nation/2020/04/07/coronavirus-is-infecting-killing-black-americans-analarmingly-high-rate-post-analysis-shows/, accessed on April 10, 2020.

EPA if they suspend any monitoring or reporting under the policy, and that EPA disclose any such suspensions to the public in a timely manner;¹⁵

- If EPA does not rescind the policy, Congress should exercise strong oversight of its implementation and hold EPA accountable to protecting public health and safety through compliance with the specific recommendations above; and
- EPA, or Congress if EPA fails to promptly do so, should provide clear and confidential means for facility workers and affected community members to anonymously report concerns about facility suspension of activities that may endanger health, safety, and the environment directly to the Agency, and establish systems to act immediately on those reports (including maintaining confidentiality of reports, and referring workplace health and safety concerns to the Occupational Health and Safety Administration).

If you have questions about our recommendations or a response, please contact on behalf of the signatories to this letter: Darya Minovi, MPH, Policy Analyst with the Center for Progressive Reform, at dminovi@progressivereform.org or 202-747-0698 extension 6.

For Health and Justice,

The undersigned 152 affected community, environmental justice, faith, worker, business, conservation, health, and other concerned organizations, and 118 affected and concerned individuals.

Organizations

A Better Chance A Better Community Alaska Community Action on Toxics Alianza Nacional de Campesinas All Bright Solar Alliance for Affordable Energy Alliance of Nurses for Healthy Environments

Azul

Better Building Institute Inc. (nfp)

Beyond Plastics Beyond Toxics

Breast Cancer Prevention Partners

Brighter Green

Buckeye Environmental Network Buxmont Coalition for Safer Water

California Healthy Nail Salon Collaborative

California Wilderness Coalition Californians for Pesticide Reform **CALPIRG**

Catskill Mountainkeeper

Center for Biological Diversity

Center for Earth, Energy and Democracy

Center for Environmental Health

Center for Food Safety

Center for Progressive Reform

Center for Public Environmental Oversight

Central Coast Alliance United for a Sustainable Economy (CAUSE)

Central Illinois Healthy Community

Alliance

Central Valley Air Quality Coalition

(CVAQ)

Citizens for a Healthy and Safe Environment

Clean Power Lake County

Clean Water Action/Clean Water Fund

¹⁵ Natural Resources Defense Council, Environmental Justice Health Alliance et al, "Petition for Emergency Rulemaking", April 1, 2020, see https://www.nrdc.org/sites/default/files/petition-emergency-rulemaking-20200401.pdf.

Climate Reality Project -- Chicago, IL Chapter

Coalition for Clean Air

Columbus Community Bill of Rights

Columbus Community Rights Coalition (CCRC)

Coming Clean

Conceivable Future

Concerned Citizens of Lake Township

CRLA Foundation

Delaware Concerned Residents for Environmental Justice

Dogwood Alliance

Don't Waste Arizona

Dr. Yolanda Whyte Pediatrics

Earth Ethics, Inc.

Earthjustice

Eco-Justice Collaborative

Endangered Species Coalition

Environment America

Environment North Carolina

Environmental Health Strategy Center

Environmental Justice Health Alliance for

Chemical Policy Reform

Environmental Protection Network

Epidemic Answers

Erin Brockovich Foundation, Inc.

Faith in Place Action Fund

Farmworker Association of Florida

FreshWater Accountability Project

Friends Committee on Legislation of

California

Friends of the Earth

Gasp

Greenpeace US

Guernsey County Citizens Support on

Drilling Issues

Harambee House, Inc / Center for

Environmental Justice

Headwater Education Project

Hudson River Sloop Clearwater, Inc.

Illinois Climate Action Table

INSTITUTE4Science & Interdisciplinary

Studies

Integrated Resource Management, Inc.

International Center for Technology

Assessment

International Pollutants Elimination

Network (IPEN)

Investor Advocates for Social Justice

Jobs to Move America

Just Transition Alliance

LEAD for Pollinators, Inc.

Learning Disabilities Association of

America

Learning Disabilities Association of Georgia

Learning Disabilities Association of Illinois

Learning Disabilities Association of Maine

Learning Disabilities Association of

Michigan

Learning Disabilities Association of

Minnesota

Learning Disabilities Association of

Oklahoma

Learning Disabilities Association of Oregon

Learning Disabilities Association of South

Carolina

Learning Disabilities Association of

Tennessee

Little Village Environmental Justice

Organization

Los Jardines Institute

Maryland Pesticide Education Network

Material Research L3C

Metro East Green Alliance

Michigan State University and Hurley

Children's Hospital Pediatric Public

Health Initiative

Midwest Environmental Justice

Organization

Moms Across America

National Black Worker Center Project

National Family Farm Coalition

Natural Resources Defense Council

New Jersey Environmental Justice Alliance

New Jersey Friends of Clearwater

Nontoxic Certified / MADE SAFE

North Carolina Council of Churches

North Carolina Interfaith Power & Light

Northeastern Environmental Justice

Research Collaborative

Northern California Recycling Association Northern Illinois Jobs with Justice Northwest Atlantic Marine Alliance Northwest Center for Alternatives to Pesticides

Nuclear Energy Information Service (NEIS)

NYS AAP - Chapter 2

Oak Park Area Climate Action

ONE Northside

Oregon Physicians for Social Responsibility

Pesticide Action Network

Philly Thrive

Physicians for Social Responsibility, Los Angeles

Physicians for Social Responsibility, San

Francisco Bay Area Chapter Planning and Conservation League

Plastic Oceans International

Prairie Rivers Network

Public Citizen

Rabun Gap Chapter of the Blue Ridge

Environmental Defense League

Rachel Carson Council

REACT (Rubbertown Emergency ACTion)

ReGenesis Community Development

Corporation

Regional Asthma Management and

Prevention

Safer States

Second Unitarian Church of Chicago

Sierra Club

Sisters of St. Francis of Philadelphia

Socially Responsible Agricultural Project

Southeast Environmental Task Force

Southeast Side Coalition to Ban Petcoke

Surfrider Foundation

Sustaining Way

Texas Environmental Justice Advocacy

Services (t.e.j.a.s.)

Texas Interfaith Center for Public

Policy/Texas Impact

The Christopher Reynolds Foundation

The Environmental Justice Coalition for

Water

The Post-landfill Action Network

Toxic Free NC

Toxics Action Center

Turtle Island Restoration Network

U.S. PIRG

Unitarian Church of Hinsdale

Unitarian Universalist Advocacy Network of

Illinois

Unitarian Universalist Service Committee

Unity Temple Unitarian Universalist

Congregation

UPSTREAM

Veterans For Peace, Chicago Chapter

Women's Voices for the Earth

Worker Justice Center of New York

Zero Breast Cancer

350 Chicago

350 Silicon Valley

Individuals

The signatures listed below indicate individual support, and do not reflect their affiliation's view unless that entity is listed separately above under Organizations.

- 1. Adair B. Small
- 2. Alejandro E. Camacho, Professor of Law and Faculty Director, Center for Land, Environment, and Natural Resources, University of California, Irvine
- 3. Alison Price
- 4. Amy D Kyle, PhD MPH
- 5. Amy Hassinger

- 6. Andrea Agrimonti
- 7. Angela Czapiewski
- 8. Anne M. White
- 9. Bart Ostro, Research Professor, UC Davis
- 10. Benjamin J. Ashraf, MPH
- 11. Betsey Zemke
- 12. Brian Nielsen, Ph.D.
- 13. Christine Peters

- 14. Christine Silvey, MCC, CTTP
- 15. Christopher Smith
- 16. Claudia Gonzalez, Organizer FWAF
- 17. Claudia Steinbrecher, LCSW
- 18. Cynthia Swacina, RPH
- 19. Dan O'Brien
- 20. Darius D. Sivin, PhD
- 21. David Bates-Jefferys
- 22. David Black
- 23. David Guran
- 24. Deborah Donovan
- 25. Deborah Montgomery
- 26. Deborah Wallace Ph.D., retired senior project leader, consumers Union
- 27. Don Dieckmann
- 28. Dr. Kenneth Small
- 29. Dr. Rosemary Ahtuangaruak, Inupiat Tribal Leader, mother and grandmother, ARCUS Indigenous Scholar
- 30. El'gin Avila
- 31. Elena Sasso
- 32. Elizabeth Dowell, MD
- 33. Elizabeth Glass Geltman, Associate Professor, CUNY School of Public Health; Lecturer, Johns Hopkins University; Chair-elect, Law Section, American Public Health Association
- 34. Emily Hammond, Professor of Law, The George Washington University
- 35. Francis S. Short, M.A.
- 36. Frank Zhu, CPA
- 37. Gizelle Alvarez
- 38. Glenn Mills
- 39. Gloria E. Barrera
- 40. Harold Mitchell, Executive Director, ReGenesis Community Development Corporation
- 41. Heath Rednick
- 42. Heather Elliott, Alumni, Class of '36 Professor of Law, University of Alabama School of Law
- 43. Heidi Sanborn, MPA
- 44. Howard Hansen
- 45. Irena Gorski Steiner, MPH

- 46. James Kane
- 47. Janet McDonnell
- 48. Jen Packheiser
- 49. Jim Parks
- 50. Jodie Mussio, M.S.
- 51. John E. Vena, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Public Health Sciences, Medical University of South Carolina
- 52. Judith Enck, former EPA Regional Administrator and President of Beyond Plastics
- 53. Karen Alanos
- 54. Karen C. Sokol, Professor of Law, Loyola University New Orleans College of Law
- 55. Karla K Chew
- 56. Kathryn Gredell
- 57. Kristine W Grady MS, CRNA
- 58. Laura Davis MBA
- 59. Linda Bonner
- 60. Madeleine Van Hecke, PhD
- 61. Margaret Shaklee, UUANI board president
- 62. Marian Honel-Wilson
- 63. Mary Anne OToole
- 64. Mary Ellen McGoey
- 65. Mary Parks
- 66. Matthew Masiello, M.D., MPH. Clinical Professor of Pediatrics
- 67. Megan Latshaw, PhD MHS, Associate Scientist, Department of Environmental Health and Engineering, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health
- 68. Mona Hanna-Attisha MD MPH FAAP, Founder and Director of Michigan State University and Hurley Children's Hospital Pediatric Public Health Initiative
- 69. Monica E. Unseld, Ph.D, MPH
- 70. Mr. Joseph Romeo
- 71. Mrs. Dienna Drew
- 72. Mrs. Jean AR. McCollum, RD
- 73. Ms Laura BAsanta
- 74. Ms. Cathy R. Blanford

- 75. Ms. Faith P. Bailey
- 76. Ms. Karla Peterson
- 77. Ms. Margaret Galle
- 78. Myra Epping
- 79. Nancy G. Irons
- 80. Natalie Sampson, PhD, MPH, University of Michigan-Dearborn
- 81. Pamela Berg, MPH
- 82. Pamela k Sartori
- 83. Patricia Ghysels
- 84. Paulette Lucas
- 85. Penny Robinson, Steering Committee Member, Coalition for More Responsible Transportation
- 86. Rebecca Parkin, MPH, PhD, Professorial Lecturer, The George Washington University
- 87. Rev. Allen Harden
- 88. Rev. Colleen Vahey
- 89. Rev. Dr. William Sasso
- 90. Rev. Eileen Wiviott
- 91. Rev. Pamela Rumancik
- 92. Rev. Sarah C. Richards
- 93. Richard Crume, Urban Health Consultant
- 94. Richard Pokorny
- 95. Robert Bulanda
- 96. Robert M. Gould, MD, President,
 San Francisco Bay Area Chapter of
 Physicians for Social Responsibility,
 Associate Adjunct Professor,
 Program on Reproductive Health and
 the Environment, Department of
 Obstetrics, Gynecology and
 Reproductive Sciences, UCSF
 School of Medicine

- 97. Robert S. Lawrence, MD, MACP, Professor Emeritus, Environmental Health & Engineering, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health
- 98. Robert W Bahrey
- 99. Roberta k Price
- 100. Roger M Masson, P.E.
- 101. Ruth A Cook
- 102. Sally Milow, B.A. Washington University, St. Louis
- 103. Shalanda H. Baker, Professor of Law, Public Policy and Urban Affairs
- 104. Shirley Lundin, concerned individual
- 105. Steven Farber
- 106. Steven Serikaku
- 107. Susanna Lang
- 108. Tammy Murphy LL.M., Medical Advocacy Director, Physicians for Social Responsibility Pennsylvania
- 109. Tee L. Guidotti, MD, MPH, DABT
- 110. Teresa Heit-Murray
- 111. The Reverend James A. Hobart
- 112. Theodora Tsongas, PhD, MS
- 113. Tracy McLellan
- 114. Tran Huynh, PhD, CIH
- 115. Vicky Camarena
- 116. Virginia L Wilcox
- 117. Wanda Hoover
- 118. Wendy Wagner, Richard Dale Endowed Chair, University of Texas School of Law

CC: Members of the US Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works
Members of the US House of Representatives Committee on Energy and Commerce
Members of the US House of Representatives Committee on Natural Resources
Susan Bodine, Assistant Administrator for Enforcement and Compliance Assurance, US
Environmental Protection Agency

Mr. Richard Moore, Chair, National Environmental Justice Advisory Council Members of the National Environmental Justice Advisory Council